## Remarks

The present Supplemental Amendment is respectfully submitted to correct the amendment of claim 23 for clarification. The amendment to claim 23 again further distinguishes the present invention over that of Tang et al. In the corrected amendment, it is the non-blue dopants which emit light through electroluminescence, although the blue dopant is an electroluminescent material as well. This is further supported in the specification at page 10, lines 30-32, and is pointed out in the Remarks in the prior reply to the Office Action, in the last sentence of the fourth full paragraph, which is herein stated again to note that the advantage of the present invention is that the blue dopant is deposited on all three sub-pixels, but in the green and red sub-pixels, the green and red dopants effectively dominate the emission spectrum so that any blue emission from the sub-pixels is inconsequential. The statement thus made in the third full paragraph that all three dopants emit light was incorrect, although all three dopants are electroluminescent materials.

Reconsideration of the rejection of Tang et al. is respectfully requested, and withdrawal of the rejection is earnestly solicited in view of the corrected amendment to claim 23.

Attached hereto is a marked-up version of the changes made to the specification and claims by current amendment, captioned "Version with Markings to Show Changes Made".

Respectfully submitted,

5/14/03 Date

Reg. No. 25,968

Merchant & Gould P.C.

P. O. Box 2903

Minneapolis, MN 55402-0903

(612) 371-5381

RAD:kf

## VERSION WITH MARKINGS TO SHOW CHANGES MADE

## In the Claims

Claims have been amended as follows:

23. (Twice Amended) An article comprising an organic light emitting full color display panel wherein a blue dopant and a non-blue dopant[, which both emit light through electroluminescence,] are dispersed in at least one non-blue sub-pixel, and wherein the non-blue dopant emits light through electroluminescence.